

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,317	01/27/2000	Takeshi Misawa	0378-0364P-SP	9734	
2292	7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			VILLECCO	VILLECCO, JOHN M	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2612	A	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/200	4 /	
				- 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/492,317	MISAWA, TAKESHI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		John M. Villecco	2612		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuarv 2004.			
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 14,15 and 19-21 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2000 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the description and the correction of the output of the output of the correction of the output of the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign    All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
•		,			
Attachment	i(s)				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2612

## DETAILED ACTION II

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed January 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding claims 1 and 12, applicant argues that since Umeda only reads out green pixels in a CMOS imager, it would not have been obvious to do so in a CCD imager. However, Umeda is used only to show that it is well known in the art to read out only green pixels from an imaging device. The fact that Umeda uses a CMOS imager is inconsequential in this 103 rejection. The basic idea that an imager can read out only a specific color of imaging pixels is the premise being relied upon by the examiner. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yamada and Ueno such that only the green pixels of the image sensor are read out in order to give the user mores option and to also speed up readout of the pixel signals.
- 3. Therefore the rejections from the previous office action will be repeated.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

5. <u>Claims 1-4, 8, 12, 13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada (Japanese Publ. No. 10-136391) in view of Ueno (U.S. Publ. No. 2001/0043276) and further in view of Umeda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,452,632).</u>

6. Regarding *claim 1*, Yamada discloses an image sensor which includes a plurality of photosensitive cells (11-15) which are arranged two-dimensionally in an array. As shown in Figure 7 the cells are arranged obliquely from each other at positions shifted from each other by half of the pitch in both the horizontal and vertical directions. Additionally, Yamada discloses that the image sensor, which is inherently disposed in an image pickup section, includes a set of color filters for separating the incident light into red, green and blue components arranged in the column direction. The color filters are used for separating the incident light into three separated colors. In Figure 1, Yamada discloses an arrangement for reading out charge from the photosensitive devices (11-15). Additionally, Yamada discloses the use of vertical transfer registers (16) and a horizontal transfer register (19) for transferring the charge out of the pixels. While not specifically disclosed, the arrangement of Figure 1 would inherently include a signal reading out section for transferring the signal charge from the photosensitive devices (11-15) to the transfer registers (16-18). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 3, Yamada teaches that the green filter is arranged in the column direction.

Yamada, however, fails to disclose a mode setting section, a drive signal generator, or a control section. Ueno, on the other hand, discloses that it is well known in the art to drive an imager sensor in two different modes and to generate signals for reading out the image signals in the two different modes. More specifically, Ueno discloses a mode signal which is input to the timing generation circuit (17) wherein the timing generation circuit generates timings for reading

Page 3

out signals from the pixels (11). The timing generator (17) receives a mode signal for specifying the mode which the imager should be in (col. 5, lines 51-61). Based on the mode signal the timing generator generates timing signals for the whole pixel read out mode or the thinned read out mode. The microcomputer (26, Figure 5) controls generation of the drive signal (col. 5, lines 26-50). By controlling the timing of the image sensor so that when in various modes, readout of various pixel arrangements is performed, the imager is able to enable faster readout for performing various camera controls (col. 1, line 64 – col. 2, line 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to read out in various modes so that the readout time can be reduced for various imaging operations.

Additionally, neither Yamada nor Ueno specifically disclose that the specifying readout mode reads out the at least one separated column arranged in the column direction. Umeda, on the other hand, discloses that it is well known in the art to read out only the green pixels from an image sensor. More specifically, in column 15, line 21 to column 16, line 30, Umeda discloses that the green pixels are used to produce a luminance value in order to display a black and white image. Therefore, by selectively outputting only the green pixels, as shown in Figure 22C, one can have more options when composing an image. Furthermore, by outputting fewer pixels imaging time can be sped up. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to output only the green pixels so that a user is given more options when composing an image and so that image time is sped up.

7. Regarding *claim 2*, as shown in Figure 3, Yamada shows that the separated colors are red, green, and blue. Furthermore, Yamada shows that the color which is arranged in a column is the color green.

Art Unit: 2612

8. With regard to claim 3, Yamada discloses that the color filter includes a checkered pattern in which the color filters of the color G are arranged in the in a stripe in the column direction and in a square lattice, and the color filters of the same color of the R or B are arranged diagonal from each other interposing the G color filter.

Page 5

- 9. As for claim 4, Ueno discloses that when reading out pixels in the thinned reading mode. the timing generator (17) applies the signal to the readout gate segment (12) adjacent to the pixel (11). See column 4, lines 25-47 and column 6, lines 15-56. Clearly when reading out only the green pixel charge, as taught by Umeda, the readout signal would only be applied to the transfer gate adjacent to the pixel.
- 10. Regarding claim 8, Ueno discloses that when reading out pixels in the thinned reading mode, the timing generator (17) applies the signal to the readout gate segment (12) adjacent to the pixel (11). See column 4, lines 25-47 and column 6, lines 15-56. Clearly when reading out only the green pixel charge, as taught by Umeda, the readout signal would only be applied to the transfer gate adjacent to the pixel.
- 11. Claim 12 is considered a method claim corresponding to claim 1. Please see the discussion of claim 1 above.
- 12. Claim 13 is considered a method claim corresponding to claim 2. Please see the discussion of claim 2 above.
- 13. Claim 17 is considered a method claim substantively equivalent to the combination of claims 6 and 7. Please see the discussion of claims 6 and 7 below.
- Claim 18 is considered a method claim substantively equivalent to the combination of 14. claims 6 and 7. Please see the discussion of claims 6 and 7 below.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

15. Claims 5-7, 9-11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada (Japanese Publ. No. 10-136391) in view of Ueno (U.S. Publ. No. 2001/0043276) and further in view of Umeda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,452,632) and Suga et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,363,137).

- 16. Regarding claim 5, as mentioned above in the discussion of claim 4, Yamada, Ueno, and Umeda disclose all of the limitations of the parent claim. Additionally, as mentioned above, Ueno discloses that by controlling the timing of the image sensor so that when in various modes, readout of various pixel arrangements is performed, the imager is able to enable faster readout for performing various camera controls (col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 22). However, none of the aforementioned references discloses that in the specifying read out mode read out is performed in a predetermined region which is symmetrical with the center in the column direction and extending at least ¼ of more of the imaging field. Suga, on the other hand, discloses that it is well known in the art to include a high-speed readout of pixels in the center of an imaging area in order to perform autofocus. The pixel area is formed in the central portion of an imaging plane (col. 6, line 63) and is ¼ or less that the whole image plane. By reading out only a portion of the image plane, the camera operation of performing AF can be increased. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to read out only a portion of the image-sensing plane so that the speed of imaging preparation operations can be increased.
- 17. With regard to *claim* 6, Ueno teaches two different specifying electrodes (V2 and V2') used for reading out pixels in the whole pixel readout and the thinned readout. Additionally,

Art Unit: 2612

Yamada teaches in Figure 8 that each pixel has four transfer electrodes (39a-42a) associated with

Page 7

it. Therefore, two pixels and 8 electrodes form one group. Clearly, when reading out only the

green pixels of Yamada, only the electrodes associated with those pixels would be activated.

18. As for claim 7, as shown in Figure 8 of Yamada a green pixel of Yamada is read out to a

first transfer electrode and the fifth transfer electrode. The red and blue pixels are read out to a

third and seventh transfer electrodes.

19. Claim 9 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 5. Please see the discussion of

claim 5 above.

20. Claim 10 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 6. Please see the discussion of

claim 6 above.

21. Claim 11 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 7. Please see the discussion of

claim 7 above.

22. Claim 16 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 5. Please see the discussion of

claim 5 above.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

23. Claims 14-15 and 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

24. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 14, the primary reason for indication of allowable subject matter is that

the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest generating a column transfer which sets a

Application/Control Number: 09/492,317 Page 8

Art Unit: 2612

transfer distance to a value equivalent to two lines used in the method described in the previous claims.

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

• Parulski (U.S. Patent No. 4,876,590) discloses an imaging system for addressing only green pixels to be displayed using a low resolution mode.

26. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2612

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"; for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service desk whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

John M. Villecco

3/29/04

PRIMARY EXAMINER